

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/936,820		10/23/2001	Lars Johnsen	66386-372-7	1479
25269	7590	07/06/2005	•	EXAMINER	
		TT PLLC	ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA		
FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST 1300 I STREET, NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING				3727	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W/w						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		09/936,820	JOHNSEN, LARS						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Niki M. Eloshway	3727						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the second section apported in the second section apport	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI b, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	<u>pril 2005</u> .							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>41-55</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
-	Claim(s) <u>41-49 and 52-55</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) <u>50 and 51</u> is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers		•						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	, -,	, ,						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	 Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document 		olientian No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	•						
	application from the International Burea	•	socived in this Hadonal Stage						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.						
		•							
Attachmer	nt(s)		٠						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)						
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
	rration disclosure statement(s) (F10-1449 of F10/36/06) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 49 and 52-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geiger (U.S. 4,473,163) in view of Lecinski (GB 2,122,178). Geiger teaches a lid assembly 1 comprising an outer lid 2 and an inner lid 3. The outer lid consists of a top part between lead lines 17 and 18, a cylindrical collar at 24, threads 5 and 6, and a single inwardly extending protrusion 23b. Geiger does not teach the third layer of the inner lid. Lecinski teaches that it is known to provide an inner lid with a third layer having a lower friction coefficient (see lines 47-52 of page 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the lid assembly of Geiger with the inner lid having a third layer, as taught by Lecinski, in order to protect the metal.

The outer lid of Geiger also includes protrusions 23a, c and d. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the lid assembly of Geiger without protrusions 23a, c and d, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184.

3. Claims 49 and 52-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geiger (U.S. 4,473,163) in view of Hayes (U.S. 5,839,592). Geiger teaches a lid assembly 1 comprising an outer lid 2 and an inner lid 3. The outer lid consists of a top part between lead lines 17 and 18, a cylindrical collar at 24, threads 5 and 6, and a single inwardly extending protrusion 23b. Geiger does not teach the third layer

of the inner lid. Hayes teaches that it is known to provide an inner lid with a third layer having a lower friction coefficient (see col. 4 lines 9-20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the lid assembly of Geiger with the inner lid having a third layer, as taught by Hayes, in order to protect the metal.

The outer lid of Geiger also includes protrusions 23a, c and d. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the lid assembly of Geiger without protrusions 23a, c and d, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184.

4. Claims 41-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geiger in view of Hayes, as applied to claim 55 above, and further in view of Osip et al. (U.S. 4,991,731). The modified lid assembly of Geiger discloses the claimed invention except for the metal being aluminum. Osip teaches that it is known to provide an inner lid with aluminum (see col. 4 lines 53-68). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the modified lid assembly of Geiger with the metal being aluminum, as taught by Osip, to give the inner lid the rigidity characteristic of aluminum.

Regarding claims 42 and 43, it also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the modified lid assembly of Geiger with the first layer being low density polyethylene, as taught by Hayes (col. 4 lines 21-30), to allow the first layer to conform to any irregularities of the container opening.

Regarding claims 44-48, it also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the modified lid assembly of Geiger with the third layer being polyethylene, as taught by Hayes (col. 4 lines 9-20), to protect and provide additional strength to the inner lid.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 50 and 51 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. In view of the new grounds of rejection, THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.
- 8. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly to (703)872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niki M. Eloshway whose telephone number is (571) 272-4538. The examiner is in the office on Thursdays and Fridays.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

Application/Control Number: 09/936,820

Art Unit: 3727

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Miki M. Eloshway/nme

Page 5

Patent Examiner June 30, 2005